1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 INFOSTREAM GROUP INC., Case No. CV 13-08434 DDP (AJWx) 11 Plaintiff, 12 ORDER RE: SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION SHOWING DAMAGES 13 CALCULATION v. AURELIAN TECH INNOVATIONS LLC; JULIA BIRO; JAMES 15 HOMUTH, Defendants. 16 17 18 Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment in this case requests actual damages in the amount of \$336,426, as well as attorneys' 19 20 fees calculated, in part, as a percentage of that figure. 21 Infostream submits, in support of this figure, a Declaration by 22

Jeffrey Hamersly purporting to explain how the damages figure was arrived at.

However, the Court finds Mr. Hamersly's Declaration to be insufficient to form the basis of an award of damages. Although the Declaration describes Mr. Hamersly's method of approximating lost revenues, it does not provide any data to support Mr. Hamersly's conclusory statement that "there is a reasonable basis

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

to conclude that WhatsYourPrice.com experienced a measurable percentage decrease in new sessions" during the period the alleged infringer was operating.

The Court therefore orders Plaintiff to submit non-conclusory evidence showing actual damages, such as (but not limited to) any additional declarations, affidavits, or exhibits that would aid the Court's understanding. Such evidence shall be submitted no later than 4:00 p.m., Wednesday, September 24, 2014. Failure to submit such non-conclusory evidence will be considered a waiver of the claim for damages and attorneys' fees calculated therefrom.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 19, 2014

DEAN D. PREGERSON United States District Judge